Table des matières

1. Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis 1
1.1. Generic Acupuncture 1
1.1.1. Qu 2026 1
1.1.2. Zhang 2023 ☆☆ 1
1.1.3. Han 2022 2
1.1.4. Ji 2015 ★★ 3
1.1.5. Qin Z 2015 ★ 3
1.1.6. Lewis 2015 ★★ 4
1.1.7. Han 2014 ★ 4
1.1.8. Lewis 2011 ★ 5
1.1.9. Luijsterburg 2007 Ø 6
1.1.10. Wang 2007 7
1.2. Special Acupuncture Techniques 7
1.2.1. Comparison of Acupuncture techniques 7
1.2.1.1. Ni 2025 7
1.2.2. Acupotomy 7
2. Overviews of systematic reviews 8
2.1. Zhang 2025 8
3. Clinical Practice Guidelines 9
3.1. American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine (ACOEM, USA) 2020 Ø 9
3.2. Danish Health and Medicines Authority (DHMA, Danemark 2018) Ø 9
3.3. Philippine Academy of Rehabilitation Medicine (PARM) 2017 ⊕ 9
3.4. Danish Health Authority (DHA, Denmark) 2016 ∅ 10
3.5. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE, UK) 2016 Ø 10
3.6. North American Spine Society (NASS) 2014) Ø 10
3.7. Accident Compensation Corporation (ACC, New-Zealand) 2011 Ø 10
3.8. Philippine Academy of Rehabilitation Medicine (PARM, Philippine) 2011 ⊕ 10
3.9. Instituto di Recovero e Cura a Caraterre Scientifico (IRCCS, Italia) 2006 Ø 11
4. Randomized Controlled Trials 11
4.1. Sources 11
4.2. List 11

Sciatica

Sciatique : évaluation de l'acupuncture

Articles connexes: - conduites thérapeutiques - pathologies - qigong - acupuncture expérimentale -

1. Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis

1.1. Generic Acupuncture

1.1.1. Qu 2026

Qu Z, Ju JY, Qin H, Ding YX, Peng LH. Effectiveness of acupuncture in the treatment of chronic sciatica from herniated disks: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Front Med (Lausanne). 2026;13:1689124. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2026.1689124

Background	Chronic sciatica, frequently attributable to lumbar disc herniation, imposes substantial burdens on patients' quality of life and healthcare systems. Conventional interventions including pharmacotherapy and physical therapy are widely used but show limited efficacy, prompting interest in complementary approaches such as acupuncture. This systematic review and meta-analysis evaluated the effectiveness of acupuncture in reducing leg pain intensity and improving functional outcomes in chronic sciatica.
Methods	Randomized controlled trials published between May 2015 and May 2025 were identified from PubMed, EMBASE, Cochrane Library, and Web of Science. Eligible studies included adults with sciatica due to herniated disks comparing acupuncture with sham acupuncture, standard care, or conventional acupuncture. Pain intensity was assessed using the visual analogue scale and functional disability using the Oswestry Disability Index. Data were pooled with random-effects models, subgroup analyses were conducted to explore heterogeneity, and risk of bias was assessed using the Cochrane tool.
Results	**Eleven randomized controlled trials involving 868 participants** were included, predominantly conducted in China. Acupuncture significantly reduced leg pain compared with controls (VAS SMD = −1.08, 95% CI −1.41 to −0.75), with consistent effects versus sham acupuncture (SMD = −1.05), standard care (SMD = −1.02), and conventional acupuncture (SMD = −1.12). Functional disability was also improved (ODI SMD = −0.57, 95% CI −0.84 to −0.31; 7 studies, n = 621). These effects were statistically significant and clinically meaningful.
Conclusion	Acupuncture appears to be an effective intervention for alleviating pain and improving functional recovery in patients with chronic sciatica due to herniated disks. Despite these positive findings, methodological limitations across included studies warrant cautious interpretation, and further high-quality randomized controlled trials are needed to consolidate the evidence base.

1.1.2. Zhang 2023 ☆☆

Zhang Z, Hu T, Huang P, Yang M, Huang Z, Xia Y, Zhang X, Zhang X, Ni G. The efficacy and safety of acupuncture therapy for sciatica: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled

trails. Front Neurosci. 2023 Feb 9;17:1097830. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2023.1097830.

Background and objective	Sciatica is a common type of neuropathic pain disease which poses a huge financial burden to the patient. For patients with sciatica, acupuncture has been recommended as an effective method for pain relief, while there is currently a lack of sufficient evidence to support its efficacy and safety. In this review, we aimed to critically assess the published clinical evidence on the efficacy and safety of acupuncture therapy for treating sciatica.
Methods	An extensive literature search strategy was established in seven databases from their inception to 31 March 2022. Two independent reviewers performed the literature search, identification, and screening. Data extraction was performed on studies that meet the inclusion criteria, and a further quality assessment was performed according to the Cochrane Handbook and Standards for Reporting Interventions in Clinical Trials of Acupuncture (STRICTA) recommendations. Summary Risk ratio (RR) and standardized mean differences (SMDs) with 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated using the fixed-effects or the random-effects model. Heterogeneity in effect size across studies was explored using the subgroup analysis and the sensitivity analysis. The quality of evidence was estimated following the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluations (GRADE) approach.
Results	A total of **30 randomized controlled trials (RCTs) involving 2,662 participants** were included in the meta-analysis. The results of the integration of clinical outcomes showed that the clinical efficacy of acupuncture was superior to that of medicine treatment (MT) in improving the total effective rate (relative risk (RR) = 1.25, 95% confidence interval (CI) [1.21, 1.30]; moderate certainty of evidence), reducing the Visual Analog Scale (VAS) pain score (standardized mean difference (SMD) = -1.72, 95% CI [-2.61, -0.84]; very low certainty of evidence), increasing pain threshold (SMD = 2.07, 95% CI [1.38, 2.75]; very low certainty of evidence), and decreasing recurrence rate (RR = 0.27, 95% CI [0.13, 0.56]; low certainty of evidence). In addition, a few adverse events (RR = 0.38, 95% CI [0.19, 0.72]; moderate certainty of evidence) were reported during the intervention, which indicated that acupuncture was a safe treatment option.
Conclusions	Acupuncture therapy is an effective and safe treatment for patients with sciatica, and it can be considered a suitable replacement for medicine treatment (MT). However, given the high heterogeneity and a low methodological quality of previous studies, future RCTs should be well-designed according to the rigorous methodology.

1.1.3. Han 2022

Han KH, Cho KH, Han C, Cui S, Lin L, Baek HY, Kim J. The effectiveness and safety of acupuncture treatment on sciatica: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Complement Ther Med. 2022 Dec;71:102872. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctim.2022.102872

Background	Sciatica results from primary or secondary damage to the sciatic nerve in the lumbar or gluteal region. The first option for sciatica is analgesics, but their therapeutic effect and safety in long-term use are questionable. On the other hand, acupuncture has recently been recognized as a complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) to conventional medicine, and studies on its effectiveness and safety have been actively conducted.

Objective	To systematically compare acupuncture with analgesics in terms of effect, safety, and durability in the treatment of sciatica METHODS: This review was performed in accordance with Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 6.2. Four databases were searched for this review: Wangfang, the Korean Traditional Knowledge Portal (KTKP), PubMed, and EBSCOhost. The primary outcome measures in the review were total effective rate (TER), visual analog scale (VAS) score and pain threshold, and the secondary ones were adverse effects (AEs) and relapse rates. Risk ratio (RR) for TER and mean difference (MD) for VAS score and pain threshold were used as statistics for the meta-analysis of effectiveness, along with associated 95 % confidence intervals (CIs) and P-values. AEs and relapse rates were used for the safety and durability of the interventions. Version 2 of the Cochrane risk-of-bias assessment tool for randomized trials (RoB 2) was used for the methodological quality of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) included in the review.
Results	The synthesized TER of **28 RCTs involving 2707 participants** was significantly higher in the acupuncture group compared to the analgesic group (RR [95 % CI] = 1.20 [1.16, 1.24], P < 0.001). The synthesized VAS score of 7 RCTs involving 589 participants was significantly reduced in the acupuncture group compared to the analgesic group (MD [95 % CI] = - 1.78 [- 2.44, - 1.12], P < 0.001). In 5 RCTs involving 311 participants, the synthesized pain threshold was significantly elevated in the acupuncture group compared to the analgesic group (MD [95 % CI] = 0.93 [0.64, 1.22], P < 0.001). Additionally, adverse effects (AEs) and relapse rates of RCTs in the review were lower in the acupuncture group compared to the analgesic group.
Conclusion	In this systematic review, acupuncture treatment was significantly effective and safe compared to analgesics in sciatica. In the future, studies with a rigorous study design are required to increase the validity of the effectiveness and safety of acupuncture treatment for sciatica.

1.1.4. Ji 2015 ★★

Ji M, Wang X, Chen M, Shen Y, Zhang X, Yang J. The Efficacy of Acupuncture for the Treatment of Sciatica: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2015;2015:192808. doi: 10.1155/2015/192808. [183273]

Purpose	This study aims to assess the effectiveness of acupuncture therapy for sciatica.
Methods	Comprehensive searches of 8 databases were conducted up until April 2015. Outcomes included effectiveness (proportion of patients who improved totally or partly in clinical symptoms), pain intensity, and pain threshold. Effect sizes were presented as risk ratio (RR) andmean difference (MD). Pooled effect sizes were calculated by fixed effects or randomeffects model.
Results	A total of **12 studies (involving 1842 participants)** were included. Results showed that acupuncture was more effective than Conventional Western medicine (CWM) in outcomes effectiveness (RR 1.21, 95% CI: 1.16–1.25), pain intensity (MD −1.25, 95% CI:−1.63 to −0.86), and pain threshold (MD: 1.08, 95% CI: 0.98–1.17). Subgroup and sensitivity analysis found that the results did not change in different treatment method and drug categories substantially. The reported adverse effects were acceptable.
Conclusion	**Acupuncture may be effective in treating the pain associated with sciatica**.

1.1.5. Qin Z 2015 ★

Qin Z, Liu X, Wu J, Zhai Y, Liu Z. Effectiveness of Acupuncture for Treating Sciatica: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2015;2015:425108. doi: 10.1155/2015/425108.[184934]

Purpose	This is a systematic review and meta-analysis, which aimed to assess the current evidence on the effects and safety of acupuncture for treating sciatica.
Methods	In this review, a total of **11 randomized controlled trials** were included.
Results	As a result, we found that the use of **acupuncture may be more effective than drugs and may enhance the effect of drugs for patients with sciatica**.
Conclusion	In conclusion, the results of this systematic review suggest that **the use of acupuncture may more effectively relieve leg pain/lumbago and improve global assessment of sciatica when compared with NSAID (ibuprofen, meloxicam, and diclofenac) treatment**. But because of the insufficient number of relevant and rigorous studies, the evidence is limited.

1.1.6. Lewis 2015 ★★

Lewis RA, Williams NH, Sutton AJ, Burton K, Din NU, Matar HE, Hendry M, Phillips CJ, Nafees S, Fitzsimmons D, Rickard I, Wilkinson C. Comparative clinical effectiveness of management strategies for sciatica: systematic review and network meta-analyses. Spine J. 2015 Jun 1;15(6):1461-77.[170143]

Purpose	To compare the clinical effectiveness of different treatment strategies for sciatica simultaneously.
Methods	We searched 28 electronic databases and online trial registries, along with bibliographies of previous reviews for comparative studies evaluating any intervention to treat sciatica in adults, with outcome data on global effect or pain intensity. Network meta-analysis methods were used to simultaneously compare all treatment strategies and allow indirect comparisons of treatments between studies. The study was funded by the UK National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment program; there are no potential conflict of interests.
Results	We identified 122 relevant studies; 90 were randomized controlled trials (RCTs) or quasi-RCTs. Interventions were grouped into 21 treatment strategies. Internal and external validity of included studies was very low. For overall recovery as the outcome, **compared with inactive control or conventional care, there was a statistically significant improvement following** disc surgery, epidural injections, nonopioid analgesia, manipulation, and **acupuncture**. Traction, percutaneous discectomy, and exercise therapy were significantly inferior to epidural injections or surgery. For pain as the outcome, epidural injections and biological agents were significantly better than inactive control, but similar findings for disc surgery were not statistically significant. Biological agents were significantly better for pain reduction than bed rest, nonopioids, and opioids. Opioids, education/advice alone, bed rest, and percutaneous discectomy were inferior to most other treatment strategies; although these findings represented large effects, they were statistically equivocal.
Conclusion	The findings support the effectiveness of nonopioid medication, epidural injections, and disc surgery. **They also suggest that spinal manipulation, acupuncture, and experimental treatments, such as anti-inflammatory biological agents, may be considered**. The findings do not provide support for the effectiveness of opioid analgesia, bed rest, exercise therapy, education/advice (when used alone), percutaneous discectomy, or traction.

1.1.7. Han 2014 ★

Han Chao, Sun Zhongren, Yue Jinhuan, Zhang Qinhong, Wang Delong. [Clinical efficacy on acupuncture on patients with sciatica: a systematic review]. Liaoning Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine. 2014;2:324-326.[187038].

Objective	To evaluate the clinical effect of sciatica with acupuncture and provide ideas and reference for making clinical decision.
Methods	The databases of Pubmed, CNKI, Wanfang, CBM, Science Paper Online and Cochrane Library were searched and 878 related articles were found. Clear NPT system was used to evaluate the quality of the included studies, **19 RCTs (2521 Participants)** were finally selected to find out the clinical efficacy of acupuncture of sciatica at home and abroad.
Results	Analysis obtained from the final literature included 19 pieces of paper (2521 Participants). **The acupuncture treatment of sciatica at home and abroad has some clinical effect**. But there is lack of strong evidence to confirm due to the incorporation comprehensive experimental quality limit.
Conclusion	Large sample, multi-center, high-quality trail RCTs are needed to conform the clinical efficacy of acupuncture therapy on sciatica.

1.1.8. Lewis 2011 ★

Lewis R, Williams N, Matar H, Din N, Fitzsimmons D, Phillips C, Jones M, Sutton A, Burton K, Nafees S, Hendry M, Rickard I, Chakraverty R, Wilkinson C. The clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of management strategies for sciatica: systematic review and economic model. Health Technol Assess. 2011;15(39):1-578. [86704]

Objectifs	Sciatica is a symptom characterised by well-localised leg pain with a sharp, shooting or burning quality that radiates down the back of the leg and normally to the foot or ankle. It is often associated with numbness or altered sensation in the leg. To determine the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of different management strategies for sciatica.
Méthodes	Data sources: Major electronic databases (e.g. MEDLINE, EMBASE and NHS Economic Evaluation Database) and several internet sites including trial registries were searched up to December 2009. Review methods: Systematic reviews were undertaken of the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of different treatment strategies for sciatica. Effectiveness data were synthesised using both conventional meta-analyses and mixed treatment comparison (MTC) methods. An economic model was then developed to estimate costs per quality-adjusted life-year gained for each treatment strategy.

Résultats	The searches identified 33,590 references, of which 270 studies met the inclusion criteria and 12 included a full economic evaluation. A further 42 ongoing studies and 93 publications that could not be translated were identified. The interventions were grouped into 18 treatment categories. A larger number of studies evaluated invasive interventions and non-opioids than other non-invasive interventions. The proportion of good-quality studies for each treatment category ranged from 0% to 50%. Compared with studies of less invasive interventions, studies of invasive treatments were more likely to confirm disc herniation by imaging, to limit patients included to those with acute sciatica (< 3 months' duration) and to include patients who had received previous treatment. The MTC analyses gave an indication of relative therapeutic effect. The statistically significant odds ratios of global effect compared with inactive control were as follows: disc surgery 2.8, epidural injection 3.1, chemonucleolysis 2.0 and non-opioids 2.6. Disc surgery and epidural injections were associated with more adverse effects than the inactive control. There was some evidence for the effectiveness of biological agents and **acupuncture**. Opioid medication and activity restriction were found to be less effective than the comparator interventions and opioids were associated with more adverse effects than the inactive control. The full economic evaluations were of reasonable to good quality, but were not able to fully address our research question. Although individual studies raised a number of important issues, it was difficult to draw meaningful conclusions across studies because of their heterogeneity. The economic model demonstrated that stepped-care approaches to patient management were likely to be cost-effective, relative to strategies that involved direct referral to disc surgery. *Limitations*: The limited number of studies for some comparisons, the high level of heterogeneity (within treatment comparisons) and the potential inconsistency (between treatment comparisons) weaken the interpretation of the MTC analyses.
Conclusions	These findings provide support for the effectiveness of currently used therapies for sciatica such as non-opioid medication, epidural corticosteroid injections and disc surgery, but also for chemonucleolysis, which is no longer used in the UK NHS. These findings do not provide support for the effectiveness of opioid analgesia, which is widely used in this patient group, or activity restriction. They also suggest that less frequently used treatments, such as **acupuncture**, and experimental treatments, such as anti-inflammatory biological agents, may be effective. Stepped-care approaches to treatment for patients with sciatica are cost-effective relative to direct referral for surgery. Future research should include randomised controlled trials with concurrent economic evaluation of biological agents and acupuncture compared with placebo or with currently used treatments. Development of alternative economic modelling approaches to assess relative cost-effectiveness of treatment regimes, based on the above trial data, would also be beneficial.

1.1.9. Luijsterburg 2007 Ø

Liujterbrug PA et al. Effectiveness of conservative treatments for the lumbosacral radical syndrome; a systematic review. Eur Spine J. 2007;16(7):881-899.[145428].

Purpose	To assess the effectiveness of conservative treatments of the lumbosacral radicular syndrome (sciatica).
Methods	Relevant electronic databases and the reference lists of articles up to May 2004 were searched. Randomised clinical trials of all types of conservative treatments for patients with the lumbosacral radicular syndrome selected by two reviewers. Two reviewers independently assessed the methodological quality and the clinical relevance. Because the trials were considered heterogeneous we decided not to perform a meta-analysis but to summarise the results using the rating system of levels of evidence.

Results	**Thirty trials** were included that evaluated injections, traction, physical therapy, bed rest, manipulation, medication, and **acupuncture** as treatment for the lumbosacral radicular syndrome. Because several trials indicated no evidence of an effect it is not recommended to use corticosteroid injections and traction as treatment option. Whether clinicians should prescribe physical therapy, bed rest, manipulation or medication could not be concluded from this review.
Conclusion	**At present there is no evidence that one type of treatment is clearly superior to others**, including no treatment, for patients with a lumbosacral radicular syndrome.

1.1.10. Wang 2007

Wang Fan, Zhang Tong, Liu Yi. [Systematic review of acupuncture and moxibustion treatment of sciatica]. 针灸治疗坐骨神经痛的系统. World Chinese Medicine. 2007;2(6):354-5. [169232].

1.2. Special Acupuncture Techniques

1.2.1. Comparison of Acupuncture techniques

1.2.1.1. Ni 2025

Ni D, Tong H, Wei S, Zheng Y, Wu W, Li M, Dong Y. Efficacy and Safety of Acupuncture and Acupuncture-Combined Therapies in the Treatment of Sciatica Caused by Lumbar Disc Herniation: A Network Meta-Analysis. J Pain Res. 2025 Sep 16;18:4809-4832. https://doi.org/10.2147/JPR.S542831

Background	Sciatica is a common complication of lumbar disc herniation (LDH). This network meta-analysis compared the efficacy of acupuncture monotherapies, acupuncture versus conventional rehabilitation, and acupuncture monotherapy versus combination therapies for LDH-related sciatica.
Methods	We systematically searched PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, Web of Science, CNKI, Wanfang, CQVIP, and CBM. Bayesian network meta-analysis was performed using RStudio and GEMTC. STATA generated network and funnel plots. Treatment rankings were assessed using the Surface Under the Cumulative Ranking curve (SUCRA). Bias risk was evaluated with the Cochrane Risk of Bias tool 2.0.
Results	According to SUCRA, in terms of total effective rate, the more effective intervention was electroacupuncture combined with cupping therapy (EA+Cupping, 92.93%); in terms of VAS, the better intervention was needle knife combined with rehabilitation therapy (NK+RT, 95.96%); in terms of ODI, the more effective intervention was electroacupuncture combined with cupping therapy (EA+Cupping, 98.03%); in terms of JOA, the best intervention was electrostimulation combined with rehabilitation therapy (ES+RT, 88.27%); in terms of IL-6 and TNF-α, the better intervention was electroacupuncture combined with electrostimulation (EA+ES, 99.99%).
Conclusion	Acupuncture combined with other therapies demonstrates superior efficacy compared to rehabilitation treatment. Specifically, NK+RT is possibly a more effective intervention for pain relief; EA+Cupping shows a better benefit in improving disability and quality of life; ES+RT is likely a more effective strategy for promoting neurological recovery; and EA+ES is possibly better in reducing inflammatory responses.

1.2.2. Acupotomy

Lee 2025 Lee S-H, Lee J-H, Choi H-K, Lee M-S, Choi S, Park S, Kim H-J, Kim YI, Kim YI, Lim YS, Park T-Y. Effectiveness of acupotomy combined with epidural steroid injection for lumbar radiculopathy: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Integr Med. 2025;77:102504. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eujim.2025.102504 .

Background	Acupotomy—a combination of acupuncture and minimally invasive surgery—is a commonly used traditional East Asian medical intervention for treating patients with lumbar radiculopathy (LR). This study aimed to evaluate whether a combination of acupotomy and epidural steroid injections (ESIs) was more effective than ESIs alone or ESIs with interventions other than acupotomy for LR patients.
Methods	Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) using acupotomy and ESIs in patients with LR were retrieved from thirteen databases on June 10, 2024 (PROSPERO CRD42023435441). Included studies assessed outcomes using the pain Visual Analogue Scale (VAS), total effective rate (TER), Oswestry Disability Index (ODI), and adverse events (AEs). Cochrane's Risk of Bias Tool 2 (RoB2) was employed to assess the risk of bias, and the GRADE tool was used to assess evidence quality.
Results	**Five studies involving 577 patients** were included. Compared with ESIs alone, the combination of acupotomy and ESIs led to improvement in pain VAS scores (three RCTs, standard mean difference [SMD] −0.73 [−1.01 to −0.45], very low certainty of evidence [CoE]) and TER (four RCTs, risk ratio 1.31 [1.02 to 1.69], very low CoE), although most studies were at high risk of bias in the RoB2 evaluation. However, the combination showed no improvement in ODI (two RCTs, SMD 0.46 [−1.58 to 2.50], very low CoE). No major AEs were reported.
Conclusion	Although the quality of evidence was very low, combined acupotomy and ESIs proved more effective than ESIs alone for LR patients. Further rigorously designed studies are warranted to confirm the effects of this combination therapy.

2. Overviews of systematic reviews

2.1. Zhang 2025

Zhang J, Guo Z, Wang L, Lin R, Xiao B, Liu W, Xu N, Cui S. Acupuncture Therapy for Sciatica: An Overview of Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis. J Pain Res. 2025 Sep 7;18:4651-4671. https://doi.org/10.2147/JPR.S549214 .

Background	Acupuncture shows potential as a treatment for sciatica, but the credibility and consistency of supporting evidence remain unclear, warranting critical and comprehensive evaluation. This overview aims to assess the reliability, adequacy, and limitations of current evidence on acupuncture for sciatica using a multidimensional approach and further examine its efficacy through a secondary meta-analysis.
Methods	Systematic reviews and meta-analyses (SRs/MAs) meeting PICOS criteria were identified from eight databases by two independent reviewers. Evidence reliability was assessed using AMSTAR-2, ROBIS, PRISMA-A, and the GROOVE tool across four domains: methodological quality, bias risk, reporting accuracy, and study overlap. Duplicate randomized controlled trials (RCTs) were excluded based on the Corrected Covered Area (CCA) analysis, and a secondary meta-analysis was conducted. Sensitivity analyses and funnel plots assessed robustness and publication bias.

Results	Seven SRs/MAs were included. AMSTAR-2 revealed significant methodological flaws, particularly due to a lack of protocol pre-registration. ROBIS assessments showed a high risk of bias, with most studies relying on single-database searches and lacking comprehensive strategies. PRISMA-A indicated generally low reporting quality, especially regarding descriptions of acupuncture sensation. The GROOVE tool yielded a CCA of 7.23%, reflecting moderate study overlap. The secondary meta-analysis showed that acupuncture significantly improved treatment effectiveness (RR = 1.23; 95% CI: 1.20-1.26; P = 0.008), reduced pain intensity, and increased pain threshold. Sensitivity analyses confirmed the robustness of results, while funnel plots suggested some publication bias. Acupuncture was generally considered safe across studies.
Conclusion	Although current evidence is limited by methodological flaws, publication bias, and poor reporting quality, acupuncture shows promising clinical potential for sciatica. High-quality, rigorously designed studies are needed to confirm its efficacy.

3. Clinical Practice Guidelines

⊕ positive recommendation (regardless of the level of evidence reported)
Ø negative recommendation (or lack of evidence)

3.1. American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine (ACOEM, USA) 2020 Ø

Hegmann KT, Travis R, Andersson GBJ, Belcourt RM, Carragee EJ, Donelson R, Eskay-Auerbach M, Galper J, Goertz M, Haldeman S, Hooper PD, Lessenger JE, Mayer T, Mueller KL, Murphy DR, Tellin WG, Thiese MS, Weiss MS, Harris JS. Non-Invasive and Minimally Invasive Management of Low Back Disorders. J Occup Environ Med. 2020 Mar;62(3):e111-e138.
https://doi.org/10.1097/JOM.0000000000001812

For treatment of acute, subacute, radicular, or postoperative LBP, there are no quality studies, there are other effective treatments for those patients, and thus, acupuncture is Not Recommended (I), Moderate Confidence.

3.2. Danish Health and Medicines Authority (DHMA, Danemark 2018) Ø

Stochkendahl MJ, Kjaer P, Hartvigsen J, Kongsted A, Aaboe J, Andersen M et al. National Clinical Guidelines for non-surgical treatment of patients with recent onset low back pain or lumbar radiculopathy. Eur Spine J. 2018;27(1):60-75. [195990].. {National Clinical Guideline: interventions for recent onset lumbar radiculopathy Danish Health Authority]. 2016 [193124].

Guidelines recommend against acupuncture.

3.3. Philippine Academy of Rehabilitation Medicine (PARM) 2017 ⊕

Low back pain management guideline. Philippine Academy of Rehabilitation Medicine (PARM). 2017:294P. [198246].

Acute low back pain with radiculopathy: There is some evidence to use acupuncture in acute low back pain with radiculopathy. PARM Endorses use of acupuncture as treatment for low back pain with radiculopathy.

3.4. Danish Health Authority (DHA, Denmark) 2016 ∅

National clinical guideline for the nonsurgical treatment of recent onset lumbar nerve root compression (lumbar radiculopathy) quick guide. Danish Health Authority. 2016;:. [208649]. URL

It is not good practice to offer acupuncture on a routine basis to patients with recent onset lumbar nerve root Compression.

3.5. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE, UK) 2016 Ø

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Low back pain and sciatica in over 16s: assessment and management (NG59). Evidence-based recommendations on assessing and managing low back pain and sciatica in people aged 16 and over London (UK): National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). 2016. [158090]. Données relatives à l'acupuncture : [158090-b].

1.2.8, Do not offer acupuncture for managing low back pain with or without sciatica.

3.6. North American Spine Society (NASS) 2014) Ø

Kreiner DS, Hwang SW, Easa JE, Resnick DK, Baisden JL, Bess S et al ; North American Spine Society. An evidence-based clinical guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of lumbar disc herniation with radiculopathy. Spine J. 2014;14(1):180-91. [200343].

Question 15: what is the role of ancillary treatments such as bracing, electrical stimulation, **acupuncture**, and transcutaneous electrical stimulation in the treatment of lumbar disc herniation with radiculopathy? There is an insufficient evidence to make a recommendation for or against the use of ultrasound or low-power laser in the treatment of lumbar disc herniation with radiculopathy [40]. Grade of recommendation: I (insufficient evidence).

3.7. Accident Compensation Corporation (ACC, New-Zealand) 2011 Ø

Hardaker N, Ayson M. Pragmatic Evidence Based Review. The efficacy of acupuncture in the management of musculoskeletal pain. Accident Compensation Corporation (ACC, New-Zealand). 2011. [182414].

There is no evidence to recommend the use of acupuncture for lumbar disc herniation related radiculopathy (LDHR)

3.8. Philippine Academy of Rehabilitation Medicine (PARM, Philippine) 2011 ⊕

Clinical Practice Guidelines on the Diagnosis and Management of Low Back Pain. Philippine Academy of Rehabilitation Medicine (PARM). 2011. [199237]. Voir rappel des recommandations 2011 dans : Low back pain management guideline. Philippine Academy of Rehabilitation Medicine (PARM). 2017:294P. [198246].

There is some evidence to use acupuncture in acute low back pain with radiculopathy (Low Volume – Current)

3.9. Instituto di Recovero e Cura a Caraterre Scientifico (IRCCS, Italia) 2006 Ø

Negrini S, Giovannoni S, Minozzi S, Barneschi G, Bonaiuti D, Bussotti A, D'Arienzo M, Di Lorenzo N, Mannoni A, Mattioli S, Modena V, Padua L, Serafini F, Violante FS. Diagnostic therapeutic flow-charts for low back pain patients: the Italian clinical guidelines. Eura Medicophys. 2006;42(2):151-70. [199104].

Treatment of Low back pain patient. Physical therapies: acupuncture is not effective (strenght of evidence : A). **Treatment of sciatica patient**. Physical therapies: acupuncture is not effective (strenght of evidence : A).

4. Randomized Controlled Trials

4.1. Sources

- a = Ji 2015: Ji M, Wang X, Chen M, Shen Y, Zhang X, Yang J. The Efficacy of Acupuncture for the Treatment of Sciatica: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2015;2015:192808. [183273] (n=12)
- b = Qin 2015: Qin Z, Liu X, Wu J, Zhai Y, Liu Z. Effectiveness of Acupuncture for Treating Sciatica: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2015;2015:425108. [184934] (n=11)
- c = Lewis 2015: Lewis R, Williams N, Matar H, Din N, Fitzsimmons D, Phillips C, Jones M, Sutton A, Burton K, Nafees S, Hendry M, Rickard I, Chakraverty R, Wilkinson C. The clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of management strategies for sciatica: systematic review and economic model. Health Technol Assess. 2011;15(39):1-578. [86704] (n=5)

4.2. List

2015	Ye XC, Zhao P, Wang L et al. [Clinical observation on the treatment of root sciatica by electro-acupuncture at Jiaji point]. Information on Traditional Chinese Medicine. 2015;32(1):108–111.		Ji 2015
2014	Wang CH. [Clinical observation of sciatica treated by acupuncture]. Asia-Pacific Traditional Medicine. 2014;10(6):94–95.		Ji 2015
2014	Meng Rong. [Efficacy of electroacupuncture therapy and medication treatment on lumbar disc herniation]. Journal of Clinical Acupuncture and Moxibustion. 2014;30(9):30-32.		Qin 2015
2014	Huang WD. [Clinical observation of 76 cases of sciatica treated by acupuncture]. Contemporary Medicine Forum. 2014;12(1):178–179.		Ji 2015
2013	Ren YX. [Clinical observation on 30 cases of lumbar intervertebral disc herniation treated by warm needling combined with medicine]. Jiangsu Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine. 2013;45(9):62–63.		Qin 2015
2012	Zhai H. [Clinical observation of sciatica treated by acupuncture]. Medical Information. 2012;25(2):562.		Ji 2015
2012	Zeng YY. [Slow twist combined with pricking blood therapy by Qi stagnation and Blood stasis type of root sciatica in clinical research]. Unpublished data, 2012.		Qin 2015
2012	Liu BL. [Clinical analysis of 80 cases of acupuncture and moxibustion for treatment of sciatica]. Guide of China Medicine. 2012;10(24):590–591.		Ji 2015

2012	Zhang Z. [Clinical observation of 145 cases of sciatica treated by acupuncture]. Chinese Journal of Modern Drug Application. 2012;6(4):124–125.		Ji 2015
2011	Zhu JH, Chen HY, Chen JY. [Treating 30 cases of backbone of sciatica by hip three-needle-based acupuncture]. Clinical Journal of Chinese Medicine. 2011;3(5):78–79.		Ji 2015
2010	Hu ZC, Shen LH, Wu YC. [Observations on the therapeutic effect of electro-acupuncture on lumbar intervertebral disc herniation]. Shanghai Journal of Acupuncture and Moxibustion. 2010;29(11):722–724.		Qin 2015
2010	Chen WK. Clinical study of acupuncture in sciatica patients [M.S. thesis], Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine. Guangdong, China, 2010.		Ji 2015Qin 2015
2009	Du Z, Shao P, He YH. [Clinical observation on 32 cases of lumbar intervertebral disc herniation treated by electroacupuncture on Huatuo Jiaji points]. Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine. 2009;50(7):617–619.		Qin 2015
2009	Chen Mr, Wang P, Cheng G, Guo X, Wei Gw, Cheng Xh. The warming acupuncture for treatment of sciatica in 30 cases. J Tradit Chin Med. 2009;29(1):50-3.		Qin 2015 Lewis 2015
2008	Zhang BM, Wu YC, Shao P, Shen J, Jin RF. [Electroacupuncture therapy for lumbar intervertebral disc protrusion: a randomized controlled trial]. Journal of Clinical Rehabilitative Tissue Engineering Research. 2008;12(2):353–355.		Qin 2015
2008	Wang XG. [Clinical study of acupuncture for treating 52 cases of lumbar intervertebral disc herniation]. Asia-Pacific Traditional Medicine. 2008;4(9):39–40.		Qin 2015
2008	Dong QJ, Wu BH, Zhang YM. [Observation on the therapeutic effect of searching-needling method of acupuncture for 60 cases of primary sciatica]. New Medical Science. 2008;7(4):135–136.		Ji 2015
2007	Chen MR, Wang P, Cheng G et al. [A clinical observation on acupuncture for 30 cases of sciatica]. Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine. 2007;48(3):238–240.		Ji 2015
2004	Zhao RH. [Clinical study of electro-acupuncture on Huangtiao point to treat sciatica]. Unpublished data, 2004.		Qin 2015
2004	Wang BX, La LJ. [Therapeutic effects of electroacupuncture and diclofenic on herniation of lumbar intervertebral disc]. Chinese Journal of Clinical Rehabilitation. 2004;8(17):3413–3415.		Qin 2015
2000	Wang RR, Tronnier V. Effect of acupuncture on pain management in patients before and after lumbar disc protrusion surgery – a randomized control study. Am J Chin Med 2000;28:25–33.		Lewis 2015
1997	Wehling P, Reinecke J. Acupuncture together with cytokine depressing herbs in comparison to injection therapy with steroids in sciatic pain. Schmerz 1997:11:180-4.		Lewis 2015
1995	Zhi L, Jing S. Clinical comparison between scalp acupuncture combined with a single body acupoint and body acupuncture alone for the treatment of sciatica. AJA 1995;23:305–7.		Lewis 2015
1993	Zhan WZ, Liang W. [Different methods for the treatment of 2100 cases of sciatica]. Journal of GanSu College of TCM. 1993;10(2):47.		Ji 2015
1983	Duplan B, Cabanel G, Piton JL, Grauer JL, Phelip X. Acupuncture and sciatica in the acute phase. Double-blind study of 30 cases. Semaine des Hopitaux 1983;59:3109-14.	sham	Lewis 2015

From:
https://www.ebm.wiki-mtc.org/ - **Encyclopédie des sciences médicales chinoises**

Permanent link:
https://www.ebm.wiki-mtc.org/doku.php?id=acupuncture:evaluation:rhumatologie%20-%20orthopedie:16.%20sciatique

Last update: **03 Oct 2026 15:39**